

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,216	01/28/2004	David A. Wood	034158-045 7654	
22903	2903 7590 10/18/2005		EXAMINER	
COOLEY GODWARD LLP			LEGESSE, NINI F	
ATTN: PATENT GROUP 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE, SUITE 1700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE FREEDOM SQUARE- RESTON TOWN CENTER			3711	
RESTON, V.	A 20190-5061		D. TENAMED 10/10/200	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1,
~

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.00 A 11 O	10/765,216	WOOD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Nini F. Legesse	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Se	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 September 2005</u> .					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	***					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	• •					
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	Sfini F.	Legisse				
Attachment(s)	<u> </u>					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				
·						

Application/Control Number: 10/765,216

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election of Group I invention recited in claims 1-15 and 25 is acknowledged on 09/26/05.

Claims 16-24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 09/26/05.

Applicant's election with traverse is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions may be separately classified; the search required for the elected invention is likely into the areas where the non-elected invention would be searched. This is not found persuasive because for example, the method as claimed is not for a hollow metal body. For example, the product claim includes the covering of the coating, as being at least 10% of the face, and this is not claimed in the method claim. Thus clearly different searches in different areas will be required for each group.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "40" has been used to designate both alignment marker and crown in Figs. 1-2. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the

Art Unit: 3711

immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: for example on the last line of page 2 of the specification reference no. 40 is identified as a crown and on page 3 paragraph 12, reference no. 40 is identified as an alignment marker.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tom (US Patent No. 6,319,148) in view of Jansky (US Patent No. 1,980,408).

Regarding claims 1, 9, 10 and 25, Tom discloses a hollow metal body (see Figs. 5 and 8). Tom fails to teach the use of a color coating as claimed. However the use of color coating in a golf club is not new and Jansky is on reference that teaches this concept

Application/Control Number: 10/765,216

Art Unit: 3711

(see reference numeral 17 in Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Tom's device with a color coat as taught by Jansky in order to indicate the preferred point of impact upon the face to a golfer.

Regarding claims 2, 3, and 11, if one applies the color coating as discussed in claim 1 on to the Tom device, it is clear that the modified club head would have one type of color at the center of the club head and another type of color (most probably the color of the material that is utilized to make the club head. Even though the references as combined fail to explicitly state if the color is a shade of black, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use any type of known color shade since one would have expected the modified invention as discussed in claim 2 to perform equally well with any color because the use of any color would perform the same function of locating the center point of a golf club head.

Regarding claims 4 and 12, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the color coating of the device as discussed in claim 1 of whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results.

Regarding claims 5, 6, and 13, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a coating that is for example made of plastic or the other stated materials, since it has been held to be within the

general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Regarding claim 7 and 14, the claim is a product claim. Therefore, how the coating is applied to the golf club head is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results.

Regarding claims 8 and 15, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a coating that covers at least 30% or 40% of the golf club face so that the golfer could easily sees his target area on the club head.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nini F. Legesse whose telephone number is (571) 272-4412. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,216

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

Nini F. Legesse

10/14/05